Digenea of *Hoplias intermedius* and *Hoplias malabaricus* (Actinopterygii, Erythrinidae) from upper São Francisco River, Brazil
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Abstract

A total of 103 specimens of *Hoplias intermedius* (Günther, 1864) and 86 specimens of *H. malabaricus* (Bloch, 1794) from the upper São Francisco River, State of Minas Gerais were collected between April 2011 and August 2013, and their parasitic fauna were investigated. Four species of Digenea were found: metacercariae of *Austrodiplostomum* sp., and *Ithyoclinostomum* sp.; and adult specimens of *Phyllodistomum spatula* Odhner, 1902, and *Pseudosellacotyla lutzi* (Freitas, 1941) Yamaguti, 1953. The prevalence of the metacercariae was higher than that of the adult digeneans of erythrinids from the upper São Francisco River as a result of piscivorous feeding habits of these adult erythrinids. The presence of metacercariae and adult digeneans indicate that they act as intermediate and definitive hosts, respectively, in their biological cycles. *Hoplias intermedius* is a new host for the four species of Digenea, and the São Francisco River basin is a new location for the known geographical distributions of *P. spatula* and *P. lutzi*.
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Introduction

The *Hoplias* group, allocated in Erythrinidae, is constituted by eleven species of carnivorous fish, for which the main food items are other fish (LOUREIRO & HAHN, 1996; CARVALHO et al., 2002; ALVIM & PERET, 2004). These species are distributed throughout South America and are abundant in the basins of the Amazon, the South Atlantic (except in the southeastern stretch), São Francisco, and Paraná; their dispersal capacity and wide distribution are attributed to their ability to survive in environments with little oxygen and/or their endurance during long periods of fasting (OYAKAWA et al., 2006). *Hoplias intermedius* (Günther, 1864) (*Hoplias lacerdae* species group, following OYAKAWA & MATTOX, 2009) and *Hoplias malabaricus* (Bloch, 1794) are...
popularly known in Brazil as “trairão” (in Portuguese) and “traira” (in Portuguese), or traïra, respectively, and they are abundant in the São Francisco basin (BRITSKI et al., 1988).

There are no records of parasites in *H. intermedius*, but there are several groups of parasites that have been recorded that parasitize *Hoplias* spp. in different Brazilian basins (see TRAVASSOS et al., 1964; THATCHER, 1991; KOHN et al., 2007; ROCHA, 2011; COHEN et al., 2013).

After some research on the presence of Digenea in erythrinins was published, Kohn et al. (2007) compiled the existing information on digenean parasites of South American fish and recorded the presence of adult specimens of *Pseudallacanthobothasmus grandispinis* Velasquez, 1961, *Thometryna overstreeiti* (Brooks, Mayes and Thorson, 1979), *Pseudosellacotyla lutzi* (Freitas, 1941) Yamaguti 1953, and *Prosthenhystera* sp. in *H. malabaricus*. Kohn et al. (2007) included *P. grandispinis* among the parasites of erythrinid fish, despite that Jones et al. (2005) already had considered the record of *P. grandispinis* to be invalid, since this species is a parasite of marine perciform fish and not of freshwater characiform fish. Rocha (2011) listed the parasites of *H. malabaricus* from different Brazilian basins and recorded the following digeneans: metacecariae of *Clinostomum complanatum* Rudolph, 1814, *Ithyoclinostomum dimorphum* (Diesing, 1850), *Austrodiplostomum compactum* (Lutz, 1928), and of *Sphincterodiplostomum musculosum* Dubois, 1936; and adult specimens of *P. lutzi*. Following these compilations, no other species of digenean were found to parasitize *H. malabaricus*.

The aims of this paper were to present, for the first time, the species of digenean parasites of *H. intermedius* and *H. malabaricus* from the São Francisco Basin.

**Materials and Methods**

The specimens of two species of *Hoplias* were collected in the upper São Francisco basin (18° 12’ 32” S; 45° 15’ 41” W), downstream from the Três Marias Reservoir, near the municipality of Três Marias, State of Minas Gerais, Brazil. A total of 103 specimens of *H. intermedius* [standard length: 31 (14-48 cm)], and 86 specimens of *H. malabaricus* [standard length: 25 (17-35 cm)] were collected by staff members at the Centro Integrado de Recursos Pesqueiros e Agicultura (CIRPA) of the Companhia de Desenvolvimento dos Vales do São Francisco e do Parnaiba (CODEVASF) between April 2011 and August 2013. The fish were transported to the Laboratório de Ictiologia of the CIRPA, where they were identified to species according Britski et al. (1988). Valid names of the fish were used according to Silva (2003) and Silveira & Mattos (2009). The parasites were processed and preserved according to Amato et al. (1991). Voucher specimens of *H. intermedius* and *H. malabaricus* were deposited in the Coleção de Peixes of the Museu de Zoologia of the Universidade de São Paulo (MZUSP), State of São Paulo, Brazil under the numbers 95163 and 95162, respectively. All mounted specimens were examined under an Olympus BX41 microscope with phase contrast; the measurements are presented in millimeters (mm), and the mean are followed by the minimum and maximum values, which are presented in parentheses. Dates on morphology and measurements are compared with those of the published scientific literature. Parasites were classified according to Kohn et al. (2002), Niewiadomska (2002) (metacecariae), Campbell (2008), and Bray (2008) (adult digeneans). The identification of the metacecariae is according to Kohn et al. (1995), Niewiadomska (2002), and Benigno et al. (2014), and the identification of adult specimens was done according to Fernandes (1984) and Kohn et al. (1985). The ecological descriptors, prevalence, mean intensity, and mean abundance followed the methods of Bush et al. (1997). Voucher specimens of the parasites were deposited in the Coleção Helmintológica of the Instituto Oswaldo Cruz (CHIOC), Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, under the numbers indicated in the Results section.

**Results**

A total of 103 specimens of *H. intermedius* was examined. Twenty-three (22.33%) were parasitized by at least one species of digenean; seven (6.79%) were parasitized by *Austrodiplostomum*, eleven (10.67%) by *Ithyoclinostomum*, three (2.91%) by *Phyllodistomum spatula* Odhner, 1902, and two (1.94%) by *Pseudosellacotyla lutzi* (Freitas, 1941) Yamaguti, 1953. Two (1.94%) *H. intermedius* were simultaneously infected by *Austrodiplostomum* sp. and *Ithyoclinostomum* sp. A total of 86 specimens of *H. malabaricus* was examined, and 16 (18.64%) were parasitized by one species of digenean: seven (8.13%) by *Austrodiplostomum* sp., five (5.81%) by *Ithyoclinostomum* sp., and four (4.65%) by *P. spatula*. The values for the prevalence, mean intensity, and mean abundance of digeneans recorded in *H. intermedius* and *H. malabaricus* are presented in Table 1.

**Diplostomidae Poirier, 1886**

**Austrodiplostomum Szidat & Nani, 1951**

**Austrodiplostomum sp.**

- Measurements: (Based on five specimens “in toto” – metacecariae)
  - Body: 1.04 (1.02-1.08) x 0.50 (0.49-0.53); oral sucker: 0.04 (0.04 x 0.04 (0.04); ventral sucker: 0.01 (0.01 x 0.01 (0.01); pharynx: 0.05 (0.04 x 0.06); and trichocytic organ: 0.22 (0.22 x 0.23).

- Hosts: *Hoplias intermedius* (Günther, 1864) (new host) and *Hoplias malabaricus* (Bloch, 1794).

- Site of infection: Eyes.

- Voucher specimens: CHIOC 37982 (*H. intermedius*); CHIOC 37983 (*H. malabaricus*).

Remarks: These metacecariae that have a site inside the eyes of fish (retina, vitreous humor, aqueous humor, and/or crystalline) can cause injuries; in extreme cases, its presence can cause exophthalmos, retinal detachment, lens opacity, and blindness (MARTINS et al., 1999; SZIDAT & NANI, 1951).

The metacecariae of this study are similar to those reported by Kohn et al. (1995) and Yamada et al. (2008), who identified the metacecariae as *Austrodiplostomum compactum* (Lutz, 1928). The metacecariae occurs in fish and amphibians, and the adult specimens parasitize birds or mammals (NIEWIADOMSKA, 2002).

In the São Francisco River, Brasil-Sato (2003) reported the presence of metacecariae of the *Austrodiplostomum* sp. (as *Diploloium* sp.) in the eyes of *Prochilodus argenteus* Agassiz, 1829, *Trachelyopterus galeatus* (Linnaeus, 1766), *Conorhynchos conirostris* Val., 1840, and *Pimelodus maculatus* Lacépède, 1803; later, Santos-Clapp & Brasil-Sato (2014), as well as Sabas &
Table 1. Ecological descriptors (prevalence, mean intensity and mean abundance) of digenean species of *Hoplias* spp. (Erythrinidae) from the upper São Francisco River, State of Minas Gerais, Brazil.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Digenean species</th>
<th><em>Hoplias intermedius</em> (Günther, 1864) (n = 103)</th>
<th><em>Hoplias malabaricus</em> (Bloch, 1794) (n = 86)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Prevalence (%)</td>
<td>Mean intensity (range)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metacercariae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Austrodiplostomum</em> sp.</td>
<td>6.79</td>
<td>3.42 (1-12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Ithyoclinostomum</em> sp.</td>
<td>10.67</td>
<td>2.54 (1-7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Phyllodistomum spatula</em> Odhner, 1902</td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td>2.00 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pseudoelacoryxa lutzi</em> (Freitas, 1941)</td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td>276 (201-301)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Satanoperca pappaterra</em> (Freitas, 1941)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Brasil-Sato (2014), recorded these metacercariae (as *A. compactum*) in the eyes of the *Cichla kelberi* Kullander & Ferreira, 2006 and *Pimelodus pohli* Ribeiro & Lucena, 2006, respectively.

The prevalence (P) of *Austrodiplostomum* sp. varied among Siluriform fish (omnivorous adult representatives of Pimelodidae) from São Francisco River, and their rates were occasionally lower than that of adult digenean species in the parasite communities (*P. maculatus*: P=20.5%, lower than that of *Plethyniella coelomica* Szidat, 1951 (= *Sanguinicola coelomica* Szidat, 1951) – P=21.3% among other adult digenans (BRASIL-SATO & PAVANELLI, 2004); *Conorhynchos canrostris* (Val., 1840): P=8.3%, similar to metacercariae of the *Clinostomum* sp., and lower than that of *Creptotrema creptotrema* Travassos, Artigas & Pereira, 1928, and *Paleocryptogonimus claviformis* Szidat, 1954 – both P=16.7% (BRASIL-SATO & SANTOS, 2005); and *P. pohli*: P=35.5%, higher than the Digenea community (SABAS & BRASIL-SATO, 2014).

Metacercariae of *Austrodiplostomum* sp. have been registered in *Hoplias* spp. from several Brazilian basins, as well as in different hosts (see RAMOS et al., 2013). In some studies, these metacercariae showed a higher prevalence rate than the trairiras from the upper São Francisco River (P=60.7% in the Paraná River (SANTOS et al., 2012), and P=57.1% in the mid-Doce River, State of Minas Gerais (BELEI et al., 2013). For the perciforms, the metacercariae have been high in some studies, and it was higher than that for cichlids (P=59.8% in *C. kelberi*) from the São Francisco River (SANTOS-CLAPP & BRASIL-SATO, 2010), (P=65.0% in *C. kelberi* (=*Cichla monoculus*) from the Paraná River (MACHADO et al., 2005), P=92.7% and P=58.6% in *Geophagus proximus* (Castelnau, 1855) from the Tietê River and from the Ilha Solteira Reservoir, both in the State of São Paulo (ZICA et al., 2010; ZAGO et al., 2013), respectively, and P=71.9% and P=60.0% in *Satonoperca pappaterra* (Haeckel, 1840) from the Paraná and Tietê Rivers (MACHADO et al., 2005; PAES et al., 2010a), respectively. Among the Sciaenidae representatives, the high prevalence rates were even more pronounced in *Plagiochion squamosissimus* (Heckel, 1840), and they fluctuated between 90% and 100% in the Paraná (MACHADO et al., 2005; KOHN et al., 2011; SANTOS et al., 2012) and Tietê Rivers (PAES et al., 2010b); they were also high in registers from many other Brazilian states. This introduced fish species have been described as possible entry points for the metacercariae in the Brazilian limnic systems (BELEI et al., 2013).

In Brazil, with respect to the presence of *A. compactum* (considering the records of metacercariae of the *Austrodiplostomum* sp. or *Diplostomum* sp.) in the eyes of fish, their parasitic indices in different water systems were compiled by Ramos et al. (2013).

The present record of metacercariae of the *Austrodiplostomum* sp. in *H. intermedius* expands the diverse list of hosts parasitized by these metacercariae.

*Clinostomidae* Lühe, 1901

*Ithyoclinostomum* Lühe, 1901

*Hoplias malabaricus* (Bloch, 1794)

**Measurements:** (Based on four specimens “in toto” – metacercariae)

Body: 22 (15.0–33.0) × 2.39 (1.50–4.00); oral sucker: 0.31 (0.30–0.32) × 0.53 (0.52–0.57); ventral sucker: 0.98 (0.90–1.20) × 1.05 (0.92–1.42); and caeca: 19.7 (13.3–31.7) × 0.43 (0.23–0.94).

Hosts: *Hoplias intermedius* (Günther, 1864) (new host) and *Hoplias malabaricus* (Bloch, 1794).

Site of infection: Coelomic cavity and the stomach of two hosts.

Voucher specimen: CHIOC 37984 (*H. intermedius*).

Remarks: In the São Francisco River, Brasil-Sato (2003) reported metacercariae of *Ithyoclinostomum* sp. in *H. malabaricus*. These metacercariae were generally found encysted in sites associated with natural body cavities, with openings to the exterior, or encysted in muscles (BELEI et al., 2013).

In the trairiras and “trairões” from São Francisco River in this study, the prevalence values were lower (10.67% and 5.81%, respectively) than most of those of the *I. dimorphum* observed by some researchers, which were variable across the basins. Among trairiras, the prevalence was 14.3% in the Parque de Reserva and Refúgio Sooretama, State of Espírito Santo (calculated value by the authors from one fish parasitized of seven examined by TRAVASSOS et al., 1964); 41.1% in the Paraná River (PAVELLE et al., 1990), while suggested hematophagy due to the brown pigment noted in the caeca of the parasites; 17.9% in the lakes and dams from Santa Maria, State of Rio Grande do Sul (WEIBLEN & BRANDÃO, 1992); 30.8% in the Lages Reservoir, State of Rio de Janeiro (PARAGUASSÚ & LUQUE, 2007); 42% in the lake of the Cachoeira do Sul municipality (GALLIO et al., 2007); 71.4% (calculated value by the authors, from five parasitized fish of seven examined) of trairiras from Carioca
Lake, mid-Doce River, State of Minas Gerais (BELEI et al., 2013); and 0.96% from *H. malabaricus*, and 2.94% from *Hopleurus unitaeniatus* (Spix & Agassiz, 1829) in the Arari Lake, Marajó Island, State of Pará (BENIGNO et al., 2014).

Benigno et al. (2014) studied the morphology of *Ithyoclinostomum dimorphum* (Diesing, 1850) Wittenberg, 1926, and inventoried the presence of metacercaria of this species in fish and other hosts in Brazil (including their definitive hosts), and listed the sites of infection.

The present record of metacercariae of *Ithyoclinostomum sp.* in *H. intermedius* expands the list of known hosts of this species.

**Phyllodistomum spatula** Odhner, 1902

Measurements: (Based on four adult specimens “in toto”)

Body: 3.87 (3.07–4.67) × 3.29 (1.81–4.78); oral sucker: 0.56 (0.49–0.62) × 0.52 (0.46–0.58); ventral sucker: 0.42 (0.28–0.57) × 0.45 (0.34–0.57); esophagus: 0.30 (0.27–0.33); ovary: 0.21 (0.09–0.33) × 0.26 (0.13–0.39); right testicle: 0.25 (0.13–0.37) × 0.24 (0.10–0.39); left testicle: 0.26 (0.14–0.39) × 0.24 (0.10–0.37); right vitelline gland: 0.14 (0.11–0.18) × 0.14 (0.12–0.17); left vitelline gland: 0.13 (0.09–0.16) × 0.18 (0.09–0.27); seminal vesicle: 0.08 (0.05–0.11) × 0.10 (0.07–0.12); and eggs: 0.02 (0.02–0.03) × 0.01 (0.01–0.02).

Hosts: *Hoplias intermedius* (Günther, 1864) and *Hoplias malabaricus* (Bloch, 1794) (new hosts).

Site of infection: Urinary bladder and coelomic cavity of two hosts.

Voucher specimens: CHIOC 37985 (*H. intermedius*), CHIOC 37986 (*H. malabaricus*).

Remarks: Our specimens are similar to those reported by Lewis (1935) and Fernandes (1984). The specimens of *P. spatula* found in *Hoplias* spp. in this study are larger than those collected by Fernandes (1984) in the body cavity of *Colossoma macropomum* (Cuvier, 1816). However, the size of the specimens found in the present study, and those obtained by Fernandes (*op. cit.*), are within the amplitude recorded by Lunaschi & Martorelli (1990) for parasites of the urinary bladder of *Pimelodella laticeps* Eigenmann, 1917 and *Rhombosapo sapo* Valenciennes, 1836, both Heterapteridae, from the Province of Buenos Aires, Argentina. Thus, the observed variation in size may be due to the development of adults in different hosts.

In Brazil, *Phyllodistomum* spp. were registered from freshwater fish (*P. rhamdiae* Amato & Amato, 1993, *P. ruschii* Travassos, Freitas & Mendonça, 1964, and *P. spatula*) and from marine fish (*P. mugilis* Knoff & Amato, 1992 and *P. sampaii* Travassos, Kohn & Motta, 1963), which were inventoried by Kohn et al. (2007).

The record of *P. spatula* in *H. intermedius* expands upon the known hosts, and their presence in erythrinids extends their geographical distribution to the São Francisco River.

**Faustulidae Poche, 1926**

**Pseudosellacotyla Yamaguti, 1953**

**Pseudosellacotyla lutzi** (Freitas, 1941) Yamaguti, 1953

Measurements: (Based on 20 adult specimens mounted “in toto”)

Body: 0.48 (0.28–0.59) × 0.38 (0.22–0.44); oral sucker: 0.08 (0.06–0.11) × 0.09 (0.05–0.11); ventral sucker: 0.06 (0.04–0.07) × 0.06 (0.03–0.07); esophagus: 0.01 (0.01–0.02) long; pharynx: 0.05 (0.04–0.07) × 0.05 (0.03–0.06); ovary: 0.08 (0.04–0.11) × 0.07 (0.05–0.09); right testicle: 0.09 (0.06–0.16) × 0.09 (0.04–0.11); left testicle: 0.11 (0.05–0.13) × 0.09 (0.05–0.11); right vitelline gland: 0.13 (0.06–0.19) × 0.10 (0.05–0.14); left vitelline gland: 0.12 (0.05–0.16) × 0.10 (0.04–0.14); and eggs: 0.03 (0.03–0.04) × 0.01 (0.01).

Host: *Hoplias intermedius* (Günther, 1864) (new host).

Site of infection: Pyloric caeca.

Voucher specimens: CHIOC 37987 a, b, c (*H. intermedius*).

Remarks: This species, originally of *H. malabaricus* from the Ilha Seca, State of São Paulo, and allocated to the Nanophyetidae Wallace, 1935, was placed in the Heterophyidae Leiper, 1909, and later in the Microphallidae Ward, 1901. Bray (2008) – considering the lack of a cirrus sac in *P. lutzi*, monotypic, which has a close relationship with *Pseudobacciger* Nahhas & Cable, 1964 – proposed its allocation in Faustulidae.

In this study, *P. lutzi* was found only in *H. intermedius*. In Brazil, it has been previously reported in *H. malabaricus* from the Mogi Guaçu River, State of São Paulo, by Kohn et al. (1985), and from the Paraná River, State of Paraná, by Fernandes & Kohn (2001). The general morphology was in accordance with the morphometry of the of the *P. lutzi* presented by Kohn et al. (1985). Some differences in the measurements are apparently attributable to intraspecific variation and/or to the effects of different methods used to collect and preserve the specimens.

The presence of *P. lutzi* in *H. intermedius* expands upon the list of known hosts, as well as the geographical distribution of this species.

**Discussion**

In this study on Digenea of erythrinids from the upper São Francisco River, there was a remarkable expression of larval Digenea, with a prevalence of metacercariae greater than that of adult digeneans.

In the case of metacercariae of *Austrodiplostomum sp.*, which were already recorded in several fish (a generalist species at that stage of development) in the São Francisco River and other basins (see RAMOS et al., 2013), they were able, as active cercariae, to infect fish (which acted as a second intermediate host) and achieved a good distribution among them across the aquatic environment. In this study, the fact that cercariae of the *Austrodiplostomum sp.* actively infected fish was reflected in their high prevalence rate in relation to the prevalence of adults *P. spatula* and *P. lutzi*. These species require predation by fish of the first intermediate host in order for the adults to develop within them. In this case, a larval-stage transmission strategy for the fish, definitive hosts in the life cycle of these species, was one of the factors that promoted the different values in the prevalence rates reported in this study.

With regard to the metacercariae of *Ithyoclinostomum sp.* in this study, according to Dias et al. (2003), *I. dimorphum* shows a complex life cycle in which mollusks, fish, and birds act as hosts in the different life stages of the parasite. These were found in *H. malabaricus* from different Brazilian basins (PAVANELLI et al., 1990; GALLIO et al., 2007; PARAGUASSU & LUCQUE, 2007; BELEI et al., 2013), as well as in the São Francisco River, State of Minas Gerais (BELEI et al., 2013); and 0.96% from *H. malabaricus*, and 2.94% from *Hopleurus unitaeniatus* (Spix & Agassiz, 1829) in the Arari Lake, Marajó Island, State of Pará (BENIGNO et al., 2014).
Francisco basin (BRASIL-SATO, 2003). In addition to these hosts, they were found in Schizodon borellii (Boulenger, 1900) by Machado et al. (1996). Adult specimens of I. dimorpha have been registered in birds in Brazil (i.e., Ardeidae, TRAVASSOS et al., 1969; ARRUDA et al., 2001; DIAS et al., 2003). Based on the parasitism of fish from the São Francisco and other basins, it is possible to state that these metacercariae do not have such a wide spectrum of second intermediate hosts in the aquatic environment when compared to the active and non-selective action of Austrodiplodostomum sp.

The prevention of parasitism by metacercariae of Austrodiplodostomum sp. is essential because they are known to diminish visual acuity in fish (BENIGNO et al., 2014); as such, they are ambush predators, and this can negatively affect their feeding and nutrition, impair their ability to avoid predators and, as a result, become readily available and can catch prey easily. As a consequence, the conclusion of the parasites’ life cycle in their definitive hosts is optimized. On the other hand, the parasitism of the Ithyoclinostomum sp. metacercariae can result in a reduction of meat consumption because of the repugnant appearance of the metacercariae, which are encapsulated and sometimes dark-colored; this is an interesting helminth from a health and hygiene point of view (BENIGNO et al., 2014). It damages production, as in the case of fish farms or the subsistence fishing of river populations. Therefore, metacercariae constitute parasitism, which ultimately threatens fish production directly (due to the possibility that the parasites can negatively affect the growth and weight gain of the fish; in particular, since the stocks decrease, they can become more vulnerable to predation, or their repugnant appearance may lead to discarding the fish), but their presence can be carefully prevented with a plan to farm the Erythrinidae.

The prevalence rates for metacercariae of the Austrodiplodostomum sp. in preferentially piscivorous adult fish (the size reached at first sexual maturity) in the São Francisco River, in the case of C. kelberi (SANTOS-CLAPP & BRASIL-SATO, 2014), and among the Erythrinidae in the present study, make it possible to indicate that the lower level of ingestion of organisms that act as a first intermediate host in the Digenea cycles had negatively affected the chance for fish to act as an intermediate host (other metacercariae, e.g., Clinostomum sp.) and definitive hosts (adult digeneans) for that group of parasites – whose transmission requires the predation of the first or second intermediate host, respectively. Thus, the analysis of the transmission mode (active or passive) of larval digeneans in fish, in association with knowledge about the feeding habits of the fish, helped in understanding the wide distribution of Austrodiplodostomum sp., the reduction in the spectrum of species of fish containing parasites, as in the case of Ithyoclinostomum sp., and the low prevalence and smaller quantity of adult digeneans in piscivorous fish in comparison to those species of larger sized omnivorous fish of the upper São Francisco basin.

Phyllostomum spatula has been registered in some types of characiform and siluriform hosts, and it can be considered a generalist species with regard to the definitive host. According to Lunaschi & Martorelli (1990), who described the biological cycle of P. obtusa, this species has decapode crustaceans as the intermediate hosts. The low prevalence rates for Erythrinidae reflect the low availability of the intermediate hosts that are accessible to the fish; it may also indicate a preference for fish in their feeding habits, which is more likely, given that the fish tested in this study were adults in larger size classes relative to the species (LOUREIRO & HAHN, 1996; MORAES & BARBOLA, 1995), and they were also primarily piscivorous (ALVIM & PERET, 2004).

Of the four Digenea species registered in this study, P. lutzi is the only species that, until then, had only been registered in H. malabaricus. In that study, P. lutzi occurred in H. intermedia; its presence was closely related to the Hoplias group, and it could be classified as a specialist parasite among Erythrinidae. Since its prevalence is low, it is possible that it is found in H. malabaricus from the São Francisco River, as well as in other congenic species from other basins.

The presence of adult parasites is a consequence of the carnivorous habits of the Erythrinidae members of this study, and the two fish species acted as intermediate and definitive hosts in these biological cycles.

Records of the Austrodiplodostomum sp. and Ithyoclinostomum sp. metacercariae, and of adult specimens of P. spatula and P. lutzi of H. intermedia in this study expand upon the list of known hosts for this species. The two species of Digenea adults represent new records of parasites of fish in the São Francisco basin.
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